



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/716,942	11/20/2003	Bodo Homann	028987.52715US	6796
23911	7590 10/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	& MORING LLP		PEDDER, DENNIS H	
P.O. BOX 14	UAL PROPERTY GROUP 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20044-4300		3612	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/716,942	HOMANN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dennis H. Pedder	3612				
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply 	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum state of the reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rinication. J days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 30-32</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>28 and 29</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration. ed.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 2. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P [*] rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or item No(s)/Mail Date 11/03 & 4/04	rO-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite in reciting both a motor vehicle and a passenger car.

Claim 18 lacks antecedent for "the exterior leg wall".

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 22.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the details of claim 22 and claim 30 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

Application/Control Number: 10/716,942

Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 17-26, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 7. Porsche.

Porsche has first device 16 and second device 42 and dimensionally stable material 17/7. As to claim 3, journal 42 projects into a receiving bore.

Page 4

As to claim 5, section 40 is an insert of the frame.

As to claim 17, the roof is stepped at 16.

As to claims 18-20, Porsche has sealing sections above and below the extension 16, fitting into the walls of the receiving device as well as a groove in figure 5, opening downwardly at right side.

As to claim 22, see locking assembly 28,29.

As to claim 31, the roof of figure 5 of Porsche is dimensionally stable in plan view when installed via members 52,53.

Claims 6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche 8. in view of Schroeder et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Porsche a tapered conical pin with shoulder as seen in figure 3 of Schroeder et al.. Rationale is 1) the tapered end would enhance insertion into slot 41 of Porsche and 2) the shoulder would ensure that the roof element extension is located at a fixed position relative to the windshield frame, rather than dependent on an elastomeric member 18.

9. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Porsche in view of Steyer-Daimler-Puch AG, cited by applicant as "Von Fuener et al.".

Application/Control Number: 10/716,942 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to provide in Porsche dual roof members as taught by Steyer-Daimler-Puch AG in order to reduce the weight of the roofs during movement.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 28, 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. Claims 7-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Iwata et al. is cited to show offset forward mounting. Hoelzel et al. is cited to show tapered pin and receiver.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

10/7/04

DHP 10/7/2004